

## 發展理論與政策國家發展研究所資格考參考書單

科目名稱： 發展理論與政策 領域： 社會發展理論與政策  
修訂日期： 2015.09.23 未調整 類別： 副考（30%）

### 總論

- 1、Amsden, Alice, 2001, *The Rise of the “Rest”: Challenges to the West from Late Industrializing Economies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 2、Sen, Amartya, 1999, *Development as Freedom*. NY: Alfred A. Knopf. (Amartya Sen 著、劉楚俊譯，2001，《經濟發展與自由》。臺北市：先覺。)
- 3、Midgley, J., 1995, *Social Development: The Developmental Perspective in Social Welfare*. London: Sage.

### 福利國家

- 1、Gough, Ian, 1979, *The Political Economy of the Welfare State*. London: Macmillan.  
(Ian Gough 著、古允文譯，1995，《福利國家的政治經濟學》。臺北市：巨流。)
- 2、Esping-Andersen, G., 1989, “The Three Political Economy of the Welfare State.” *Canadian Review of Sociology and Anthropology*, 26: 10-36.
- 3、Jessop, Bob., 1999, “The Changing Governance of Welfare: Recent Trends in its Primary Functions, Scale, and Modes of Coordination.” *Social Policy and Administration* 33(4): 348-359.
- 4、Room, G. J., 1999, “Social exclusion, solidarity and the challenge of globalization.” *International Journal of Social Welfare* 8(3): 166-174.

### 社會資本與發展

- 1、Storper, M., 2005, “Society, community, and economic development.” *Studies in Comparative International Development* 39(4): 30-57.
- 2、Granovetter, Mark, 1985, “Economic Action and Social Structure: The Problem of Embeddedness.” *American Journal of Sociology* 19: 481-510.

### 發展型國家與轉型

- 1、Woo-Cumings, M., eds., 1999, *The Developmental State, Ithaca*. NY: Cornell University Press.
- 2、Evans, Peter., 1995, *Embedded Autonomy: States and Industrial Transformation*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- 3、Onis, Z., 1991, “The logic of the developmental state.” *Comparative Politics*, Oct.: 109-126.
- 4、Weiss, L., 2000, “Developmental states in transition: adapting, dismantling,

innovating, not 'normalization.” *Pacific Review* 13(1):21-55.

#### 制度論

- 1、Brinton, Mary and Victor Nee, eds., 1998, *The New Institutionalism in Sociology*.  
New York : Russell Sage Foundation.
- 2、Thelen, Kathleen, 1999, “Historical Institutionalism in Comparative Politics.”  
*Annual Review of Political Science* 2: 369-404.